COPY ORIGINAL FILED

FEB 04 2016

CARH M. WIEREL MIELY, MIELY XV.

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT FOR THE STATE OF WASHINGTON FOR THE COUNTY OF CLARK

JENNIFER JACKSON; KATHLEEN DE STAEL; KELLIE WRAY; JAMIE PLEW; and MATT KOZLOWSKI.

Plaintiffs,

٧.

CLARK COUNTY WASHINGTON, ANTHONY ("Tony") GOLIK, and SCOTT JACKSON, individually,

Defendants.

No. 16-2-00314-5

COMPLAINT FOR UNLAWFUL **EMPLOYMENT PRACTICES**

COMES NOW, Plaintiffs, by and through their attorneys, the Law Offices of Gregory D. Ferguson, PC, Gregory D. Ferguson, and Northwest Legal Advocates, LLC, and Alan E. Harvey and James E. David for their complaint against defendants, and allege as follows:

NATURE OF THE CASE

The five plaintiffs in this action employed as legal secretaries with the Clark County Prosecutor's Office seek legal redress and damages for unlawful discrimination and retaliation. The plaintiffs engaged in legally protected activity when they opposed discrimination, and refused to participate in a scheme of retaliation and implicate a co-worker during a workplace Northwest Legal Advocates, LLC LAW OFFICES OF 1104 Main St Suite 214 GREGORY D. FERGUSON, PC Vancouver, WA 98660

(360) 209-4601

26

27

COMPLAINT - 1

112 W 11TH St., Suite 100 Vancouver, WA 98660 (360) 906-1167

harassment investigation. Each suffered retaliation forbidden by Washington's Law Against Discrimination (WLAD, RCW 49.60 et seq) and were wrongfully terminated for engaging in concerted union activity for their mutual aid and protection in violation of statute and Washington public policy. This case addresses a systemic pattern and history of discrimination and reprisal by the County Prosecutor's office against employees who exercise their rights to be free from discrimination in the workplace.

I. PARTIES

- 1.1 Plaintiff JENNIFER JACKSON is an individual formerly employed with the Clark County Prosecuting Attorney's Office as a legal secretary for over twenty-two (22) years. Ms. Jackson performed well over the entire tenure of her employment, and in April of 2015 was publicly honored in the County's "STARs" program in recognition of her commitment to service and for being a "positive representative of Clark County". Prior to engaging in the legally protected activity referenced below, Ms. JACKSON had never been the subject of disciplinary action and had received above average performance reviews. Ms. JACKSON was at all material times hereto a resident of Clark County, Washington.
- 1.2 Plaintiff KATHLEEN DE STAEL is an individual formerly employed with the Clark County Prosecuting Attorney's Office as a legal secretary for over fifteen (15) years, and who has also been the recipient of County awards for her service and exemplary performance. Prior to engaging in the legally protected activity referenced below, Ms. DE STAEL had never been the subject of disciplinary action and had received above average performance reviews. Ms. DE STAEL was at all material times hereto a resident of Clark County, Washington.
- 1.3 Plaintiff KELLIE WRAY is an individual formerly employed with the Clark County Prosecuting Attorney's Office as a legal secretary for over fifteen (15) years, and who has also been the recipient of County awards for her service and performance. Prior to engaging in the legally protected activity referenced below, Ms. WRAY had regularly received acceptable Northwest Legal Advocates, LLC

1104 Main St Suite 214 Vancouver, WA 98660 (360) 209-4601

performance reviews. Ms. WRAY was at all material times hereto a resident of Clark County, Washington.

- Prosecuting Attorney's Office as a legal secretary for over eight (8) years and who has been the recipient of County awards for her service and performance. Prior to engaging in the legally protected activity referenced below, Ms. PLEW had never been the subject of disciplinary action and had received above average performance reviews. Ms. PLEW at all material times hereto resided in Clark County, Washington.
- 1.5 Plaintiff MATT KOZLOWSKI is an individual formerly employed with the Clark County Prosecuting Attorney's Office as a legal secretary for over twelve (12) years. Prior to engaging in the legally protected activity referenced below, Mr. KOZLOWSKI had never been the subject of disciplinary action and had received satisfactory or above average performance reviews. Mr. KOZLOWSKI is an individual who at all material times hereto resided in Clark County, Washington.
- 1.6 Defendant CLARK COUNTY WASHINGTON (hereinafter "COUNTY") is a Washington public corporation as defined in RCW 4.08.
- 1.7 Defendant ANTHONY GOLIK ("GOLIK") is the COUNTY'S Prosecutor, and has direct control over the terms and conditions of employment for employees at the Clark County Prosecutor's Office, and who at all material times hereto is a resident of the State of Washington, and acting on behalf of COUNTY, is charged with carrying out employment policies and personnel management decisions affecting the terms and conditions of Plaintiff's employment.
- 1.8 Defendant SCOTT JACKSON is the chief civil deputy prosecuting attorney, and has direct control—and does exercise such administrative non prosecutorial control—over the terms and conditions of employment for employees at the Clark County Prosecutor's Office, and Northwest Legal Advocates, LLC

 LAW OFFICES OF

1104 Main St Suite 214 Vancouver, WA 98660 (360) 209-4601

COMPLAINT - 3

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

who at all material times hereto is a resident of the State of Washington, and acting on behalf of COUNTY, is charged with carrying out employment policies and personnel management decisions affecting the terms and conditions of Plaintiff's employment.

II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

Plaintiffs were employed through the COUNTY in Clark County, worked at a 2.1 physical location in Clark County, Washington, reside in Clark County, and have asserted only state law claims. All of the actions complained of affecting their employment and giving rise to this litigation occurred in Clark County, Washington. Subject matter jurisdiction, personal jurisdiction and venue are all properly before this Court.

III. FACTS

- Former County legal secretary, plaintiff Matt Kozlowski, having demonstrated a 3.1 record of effective union advocacy, including winning his own unfair labor practice, began advocating for a co-worker as her union shop steward and as a concerned County employee. Kozlowski began opposing what he believed to be unlawful discrimination.
- Kozlowski utilized Washington's Public Records Act ("PRA") as a means to 3.2 investigate and oppose the discrimination and retaliation against his co-worker.
- Kozlowski's actions of opposing discrimination and engaging in pro-union activity 3.3 afforded him protection from unlawful discrimination and retaliation.
- Defendants, though its officials and administrators, began retaliating and 3.4 discriminating against Kozlowski, and applied performance, email use and attendance standards differently and more stringently toward him than other employees who had not engaged in union activity, and who had not opposed unlawful discrimination.
- Kozlowski continued using the state public records act to obtain information showing that workplace policies—including those relating to email use and attendance—were

Northwest Legal Advocates, LLC

COMPLAINT - 4

1104 Main St Suite 214 Vancouver, WA 98660 (360) 209-4601

6

8

11

1516

1718

1920

2122

23

2425

26

27

being applied selectively and used as tools to target employees who had engaged in protected activity, such as lodging civil rights complaints or union grievances.

- 3.6 Defendants participated in a pattern of harassment and retaliation toward plaintiff Kozlowski that was witnessed by plaintiffs Jackson, De Stael, Wray and Plew.
- 3.7 Plaintiffs Jackson, De Stael, Wray and Plew were identified as witnesses in a written notice of tort claim Kozlowski served upon the County in November of 2013 signifying his intent to sue for discrimination and retaliation.
- 3.8 Upon information and belief, in early 2015, within days of Kozlowski filing a whistleblower complaint with the County Auditor's Office, Defendant Golik instructed Defendant Scott Jackson and others to began a covert review of all of Kozlowski's work emails going back several months.
- 3.9 It was during the targeted review of emails that prosecutors located a joking exchange of emails between Kozlowski and his four female co-workers wherein Kozlowski had quipped about bringing his union representatives "Smith and Wesson" to a disciplinary meeting (Loudermill hearing) scheduled for the next day, after being asked in an email what "ammo" he had to defend himself. One co-worker responded with "LOL" (laughing out loud).
- 3.10 Over a month later, plaintiffs Jackson, De Stael, Wray and Plew were called into a series of impromptu meetings, one-at-a-time, first with a county sheriff's deputy who was a personal friend of prosecutor Golik, and then with county prosecutor, defendant Scott Jackson.
- 3.11 During the meetings each was pressured into stating for the record that Kozlowski's brief email exchange had caused them to fear for their personnel safety. Further, they were pressured to say that they understood the emails to mean that Kozlowski intended to actually shoot his supervising attorney the morning following the exchange.
 - 3.12 They were cautioned that speaking to anyone about the matter and failing to

Northwest Legal Advocates, LLC 1104 Main St Suite 214 Vancouver. WA 98660

(360) 209-4601

COMPLAINT - 5

8

10

15

17

21

cooperate would subject them to criminal charges, and each was grilled about whether they had sought, or intended to seek, union advice or assistance from legal counsel. Further, they were told that their failure to immediately report the emails to authorities could subject them termination from employment and that their employment status was uncertain.

- Rather than give information damaging to Kozlowski thereby removing the threat of punishment and criminal fallout, they opposed the unlawful conduct, stood their ground and refused to give false testimony while stridently maintaining that the email exchange was harmless and obviously made in jest, and that nothing that Kozlowski had ever done raised any concerns or fear for their safety or that of others. Each broke silence and sought union assistance and advice of legal counsel.
- Plaintiffs chose to act in concert for their mutual aid and protection, and in 3.14 opposition to discrimination and unlawful anti-union animus by defendants, and as a consequence, were placed on administrative leave. Defendants then began an exhaustive review of the women's emails, searching for any policy violations that would justify their terminations.
 - Plaintiffs each filed union grievances challenging the unwarranted discipline. 3.15
- While defendants secretly poured over the women's work emails while they were on leave, Golik instructed defendant Scott Jackson and the same County deputy who had interrogated Jackson, De Stael, Wray and Plew to arrest Kozlowski for felony harassment without first obtaining a warrant and without any judicial oversight, commonly known as a "hotpop" arrest. Plaintiff Kozlowski was forcibly apprehended in his driveway while neighbors looked on, and hauled away in handcuffs to the Clark County jail.
- The State Attorney General's Office (AG) eventually intervened on the criminal matter because of the county prosecutor's pronounced conflict of interest. The AG found no

Northwest Legal Advocates, LLC 1104 Main St Suite 214 Vancouver, WA 98660 (360) 209-4601

COMPLAINT - 6

legal basis for criminal charges against Kozlowski. All charges were dropped and he was exonerated on April 28, 2015.

- 3.18 Defendant Golik terminated Kozlowski's employment the day after he was exonerated and the charges dismissed by the AG, still maintaining that Kozlowski had in fact "threatened to shoot" his supervisor.
- 3.19 Jennifer Jackson, Kathleen De Stael, Kelly Wray, and Jamie Plew were terminated after approximately three months of administrative leave while their union grievances were pending, on the basis of "excessive personal use of county email" during work time.
- 3.20 Plaintiffs were terminated from employment in retaliation for engaging in legally protected activity, including opposing discrimination, anti-union animus and retaliation, and because they notified defendants of their intent to pursue legal claims.
- 3.21 All claim notification prerequisites of RCW Chapter 4.96 have been met by all plaintiffs.

IV. FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION:

DISCRIMINATION - RCW 49.60

- 4.1 Plaintiff re-alleges paragraphs 1.1 through 3.21 as if set forth herein.
- 4.2 The aforementioned conduct of defendants violated RCW 49.60 et. seq. in that plaintiffs suffered discrimination and retaliation for opposing discrimination.
- 4.3 Defendants violated RCW 49.60.220 in that they aided, abetted, and incited the furtherance of the unfair employment practices.
- 4.4 As a direct and proximate result of the discriminatory conduct of defendants, plaintiffs have suffered lost wages, past and future, and emotional distress and humiliation in amounts to be proven at trial.
- 4.5 Plaintiffs are entitled to their attorneys' fees and costs incurred as a result of the conduct of defendants.

 Northwest Legal Advocates, LLC

 LAW OFFICES OF

1104 Main St Suite 214 Vancouver, WA 98660

27 (360) 209-4601

22

23

24

25

26

COMPLAINT - 7

3

V. SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION:

RETALIATION FOR PARTICIPATING IN UNION ACTIVITY- RCW 49.32

- 5.1 Plaintiff re-alleges the aforementioned paragraphs 1.1 through 4.5 above.
- 5.2 Plaintiffs suffered retaliation as a consequence of their acting in concert for their mutual aid and protection, in opposition to discrimination and unlawful anti-union animus by defendants, and opposing defendants' anti-union conduct and retaliation against those participating in union activity.
- 5.3 As a direct and proximate result of the discriminatory conduct of defendants, plaintiffs have suffered lost wages, past and future, and emotional distress and humiliation in amounts to be proven at trial.
- 5.4 Plaintiffs are entitled to their attorneys' fees and costs incurred as a result of the conduct of defendants.

VI. THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION:

WRONGFUL TERMINATION

- 6.1 Plaintiff re-alleges the aforementioned paragraphs 1.1 through 5.4 above.
- 6.2 Plaintiffs suffered retaliation and were wrongfully discharged in violation of the clear mandates of public policy.
- 6.3 As a direct and proximate result of the discriminatory conduct of defendants, plaintiffs have suffered lost wages, past and future, and emotional distress and humiliation in amounts to be proven at trial.
- 6.4 Plaintiffs are entitled to their attorneys' fees and costs incurred as a result of the conduct of defendants.

VII. THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION:

CIVIL CONSPIRACY

7.1 Plaintiff re-alleges the aforementioned paragraphs 1.1 through 6.4.

Northwest Legal Advocates, LLC

1104 Main St Suite 214 Vancouver, WA 98660 (360) 209-4601

COMPLAINT - 8

LAW OFFICES OF GREGORY D. FERGUSON, PC 112 W 11TH St., Suite 100 Vancouver, WA 98660

(360) 906-1167

7.2 Defendants and others acting in concert, participated in the tortious actions against plaintiffs in furtherance of a common design, intention, and purpose to prevent plaintiffs from reporting violations of state law, and in furtherance of accomplishing defendants' continued operation in contravention of state law.

VIII. RESERVATION OF RIGHTS

8.1 Plaintiffs reserve the right to add claims or parties during the course of the litigation as information is obtained through discovery.

IX. REQUEST FOR INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

PREVENTION OF FURTHER SPOLIATION

- 9.1 Upon information and belief, defendants' officials and administrators have permitted spoliation of evidence by, among other things, destroying public records and failing to cease destruction of other records after being served with a "litigation hold."
- 9.2 An order enjoining defendants' destruction of electronic records is necessary to prevent unlawful spoliation of evidence and irreparable harm to plaintiff.

X. PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, PLAINTIFFS JACKSON, DE STAEL, WRAY, PLEW and KOZLOWSKI pray for relief as follows:

- 1. For economic damages, past and future as set forth above;
- 2. For non-economic damages, past and future, as set forth above;
- For reasonable attorneys' fees and costs incurred herein, including all expert witness fees and tax relief authorized under RCW 49.60;
- 4. For injunctive relief to prevent destruction of records;

Northwest Legal Advocates, LLC

1104 Main St Suite 214 Vancouver, WA 98660 (360) 209-4601

COMPLAINT - 9

LAW OFFICES OF GREGORY D. FERGUSON, PC 112 W 11TH St., Suite 100 Vancouver, WA 98660 (360) 906-1167

26

5.	For	pre-judgment	interest;	and
----	-----	--------------	-----------	-----

6. For such other and further relief as the Court deems just and equitable in the premises.

DATED: February, 2016.

LAW OFFICES OF GREGORY D. FERGUSON, PC

GREGORY D. FERGUSON, WSBA No. 21866 Attorney for Plaintiffs

NORTHWEST LEGAL ADVOCATES, LLC

ALAN E. HARVEY, WSBA No. 25785 Attorney for Plaintiffs

JAMES E. DAVID, WSBA No. 13754 Attorney for Plaintiffs

Northwest Legal Advocates, LLC

1104 Main St Suite 214 Vancouver, WA 98660 (360) 209-4601

26

27

COMPLAINT - 10