

I. INTRODUCTION


Between June 18-25, 2015, DHM Research conducted a telephone survey of 400 registered voters in Clark County. The survey averaged about 18 minutes in length with an overall margin of error of $\pm 4.9\%$.

The survey results show that a strong majority of Clark County voters favor the Vancouver Energy proposal, and they cite the project's positive impact on jobs and the economy. Respondents also overwhelmingly favor developing U.S. energy reserves to make the country more energy independent.

II. KEY FINDINGS

To make the nation more energy independent, seven out of ten Clark County voters think we should be developing U.S. energy reserves.


Q: There has been a lot of discussion lately about the use of petroleum products such as oil and gas. New oil and natural gas drilling technology has greatly expanded energy reserves in the United States. Do you favor or oppose developing these energy reserves; with the goal of making the United States more energy independent than it now is? (N=400)



Support: 70%
Oppose: 24%

Over two-thirds of Clark County voters support the Vancouver Energy project proposed at the Port of Vancouver.

Q: Tesoro and Savage Companies are proposing the Vancouver Energy Project at the Port of Vancouver, Washington, which would accept crude oil that is produced in North America, primarily from North Dakota. It would arrive by rail and would then be transferred to double hulled ships and shipped to supply West Coast oil refineries. Given that information; would you strongly favor, somewhat favor; somewhat oppose; or strongly oppose building a trans shipment facility for crude oil at the Port of Vancouver, Washington? (N=400)



66% of those supporting the Vancouver Energy project cite job creation and economic development as their primary reason.

Q: Are there any particular reasons that you would support this proposal? (N=269)

